schemes started by it for the allotment of plots/flats, Rohini Residential Scheme, 1981, launched for allotment of MIG/LIG and EWS/Janta plots to registrants, is the only scheme which has not been completed so far.

(b) The present status of the Rohini Residential Scheme, 1981 is as under:—

Category	No. of registrants	Allotment made	Surrendered/ Cancelled	Backlog
MIG	25,889	16,545	1208	8136
LIG	38,105	24,676	663	12766
JANTA	18,390	13,948	38	4404
TOTAL	82,384	55,169	1909	25306

(c) Allotment of balance registrants depends on acquisition/availability of land and development of infrastructure therein.

(d) No specific time frame can be indicated in view of answer at (c) above.

Proposals for Maharashtra under JNNURM

2783. SHRI GIREESH KUMAR SANGHI:
SHRIMATI SUPRIYA SULE:

Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Maharashtra is not the leading State under the Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JNNURM) with 47 projects being approved at a cost of Rs. 6,393 crores;

(b) whether the State Government of Maharashtra has got more number of projects than those cleared for Gujarat and Andhra Pradesh;

(c) whether the State Government of Maharashtra submitted 106 proposal costing Rs. 23,000 crore and of this the Centre cleared 47 only;

(d) the main reasons for not clearing all the 106 proposals;

(e) the project proposals submitted by Andhra Pradesh and other States; and

(f) by what time all these projects are likely to be cleared?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI AJAY MAKEN): (a) and (b) Yes, Sir, Maharashtra is now one of the leading State under the Jawaharlal Nehru National Urban Renewal Mission (JNNURM) with 56 projects being approved at a cost of Rs. 7732.45 crore. Gujarat and Andhra Pradesh have been sanctioned 55 & 39 nos. of projects respectively.

(c) and (d) Government of Maharashtra has submitted 141 project proposals costing Rs. 36379.27 crore. Detailed Project Reports (DPRs) which are found to be in compliance with the guidelines of JNNURM are considered by the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee (CSMC) for approval. 56 DPRs have been sanctioned for Maharashtra at a total approved cost of Rs. 7732.45 crore.

(e) and (f) So far 713 DPRs have been received from other States including Andhra

Pradesh. Out of above 713 DPRs 324 project proposals, which were found to be in accordance with the JNNURM guidelines, have been sanctioned. DPRs received have to be in compliance with the guidelines of JNNURM for their approval during the remaining period of the Mission ending 2011-12.

De-sealing of shops and commercial properties

2784. SHRI DHARAM PAL SABHARWAL: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that de-sealing process of shops and commercial properties in Delhi is very slow due to unnecessary interference by Monitoring Committee;

(b) whether it is also a fact that de-sealing is an administrative matter, the powers for de-sealing properties vest with MCD; and

(c) if so, the legal steps that are being taken to transfer powers of de-sealing to MCD for smooth passage of de-sealing in Delhi?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI AJAY MAKEN): (a) to (c) The Supreme Court of India in the matter of "M.C. Mehta *Vs.* Uol & Others", *vide* order dated 3.4.2006 directed to seal such residential premises, which have violation in terms of land use *i.e.* misuse of residential premises for commercial establishments. To oversee the implementation of its orders, Supreme Court constituted a Monitoring Committee (MC). In terms of directions of Supreme Court, the de-sealing of premises, which are sealed on account of misuse, is to be carried out with due approval of the MC. In compliance of the aforesaid order, Municipal Corporation of Delhi (MCD) has been de-sealing the premises with due approval of MC. MCD has reported that the de-sealing process remained slow for some time as there were some issues on which the MC did not agree with the observations of MCD.

De-sealing of properties wrongly sealed

2785. SHRI DHARAM PAL SABHARWAL: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a large number of premises have been wrongly sealed in South Delhi during the last six months;

(b) if so, complete details of such premises reported to have been sealed wrongly;

(c) the steps authorities have taken to de-seal such premises on priority basis; and

(d) the action Government proposes to take against erring officials for sealing the premises without verifying the documents?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI AJAY MAKEN): (a) and (b) DDA and MCD have informed that premises have not been sealed wrongly in South Delhi during the last six months. The sealing operation is being monitored by the Monitoring Committee constituted by the Supreme Court.

(c) and (d) Do not arise in view of answer at (a) and (b) above.